Office of the West Bengal Clinical Establishment Regulatory Commission

1st Floor, 32 B.B.D Bag, West Bengal, Kolkata – 700001.

Phone:- (033) 2262-8447 , Email: wbcerc@wb.gov.in Website: www.wbcerc.gov.in

Case Reference: INT/KOL/2023/104

Mr. Pradeep Kumar Roy..... ..... **Complainant**

vs

Sri Aurobindo Seva Kendra Hospital, & Peerless Hospital.....

Respondent/ Respondents

ORDER SHEET

Office Note	Order No.	Date	Order
	1.	19/06/2023	The complaint would relate to questioning biopsy report. The complainant was admittedly a cancer patient. He had undergone surgery of sigmoid colon cancer one year back and received post operative chemotherapy. While going for a routine check up his colonoscopy report suggested proligratic mass at colon from that biopsy was done. This biopsy report done at Aurobindo Seva Kendra reveals Tissue plus syntactic cell however, the pathologist gave a rider, previous histopathology report and IHC to be correlated. After consultation with oncologist PET CT scan was

S. P. Mukherjee
23/06/2023.

done which reveal tumour recurrence. The oncologist referred the patient to surgeon for re-surgery which was done however, the post operative histopathology report revealed no cancer.

The complainant has now come up questioning the earlier report of Seva Kendra. According to him, had the report suggested no existence of cancer he would not have gone for a surgery.

We have considered the rival contentions. We also collected disputed slides and send them for a third opinion from Dr. Paromita Roy consultant pathologist, TMC. Dr. Roy has opined mostly favouring the Seva Kendra report.

Dr. Shravasti Roy, the concern pathologist would draw our attention to the rider in her report that her report must be correlated with the earlier histopathological report that she could not consider as it was not produced before her.

First report was duly considered by the oncologist.

S.P. 23/06/2023.

			The oncologist also considered PET CT scan and suggested surgery. Surgery was done at Peerless where pre-operative colonoscopy was also done that would also suggest some recurrence. Our esteemed members present at the panel, Dr. Sukumar Mukherjee and Dr. M L Saha, would both agree, it would be difficult to come a definite conclusion before blaming one report against the other. Rather, esteemed members would agree with third opinion given by Dr. Paromita Roy. Considering the backdrop we decide not to interfere. The complaint is disposed of accordingly. The complainant is directed to collect his slides back from our office on prior appointment. Sd/- The Hon'ble Chairperson Sd/- Prof. (Dr.) Sukumar Mukherjee – Member Sd/- Prof. (Dr.) Makhan Lal Saha – Member

S. P. Mukherjee
23/08/2023.

Sd/-

Dr. Maitrayee Banerjee – Member

Sd/-

Smt Madhabi Das – Member

Authenticated
S. P.
23/06/2023.

Secretary
West Bengal Clinical Establishment
Regulatory Commission