

Case Reference: INT/NPG/2022/059

Mr. Samir Das Complainant

vs

Suraksha Diagnostics Pvt. Ltd. And Care & Cure Hospital Barasat.....

Respondent/ Respondents

ORDER SHEET

Office Note	Order No.	Date	Order
	1.	11/03/2022	<p>The complaint would relate to anomaly report that was done at 18 weeks pregnancy. The subsequent scan would however suggest, the baby was having down syndrome coupled with multiple organ malformations. Subsequent investigation of the child would also suggest cardiological problem. The complainant would contend, had the anomaly been detected at the anomaly scan the couple could have taken a different approach however, it was too late when the anomalies were noted at 34 weeks.</p> <p>The concerned Gynaecologist did Caesarean</p>



		<p>C Section, the child delivered is now admitted at the Government Establishment struggling with his life.</p>
--	--	---

After receipt of the complaint, the Commission requested Dr. Utpolendu Das, HOD, Radiology and imaging SSKM- IPGMER to assist the panel in this regard. Accordingly, Dr. Das carefully examined the films of USG of the films and fetal dopler veloutimetry along with the reports of the same.

Dr. Das is present today. He is of the opinion, the image did not suggest any anomaly that could be located at the time of anomaly scan done at 18 weeks.

Why this could not be allocated, is a question that we would not be in a position to give any plausible explanation. It would be outside our domain. On the basis of the records, we cannot

60

JK

		<p>blame the sonologist who had done the 18 weeks scan. Hence we are constrained to observe that this complaint would not require any interference at our end.</p> <p>Dr. Maitryee Banerjee, our esteemed member is online. She would however, have a different view. According to her, at 18 week pregnancy the triple marker blood test would not have suggested relevant congenital malformation. She would question the choose triple marker test which should have been quarter marker test.</p> <p>According to Dr. Das as well as Dr. Madhusudan Banerjee, our esteemed member the complaint would relate to anomaly scan. The records would not suggest any mistake on the part of the concerned sonologist.</p> <p>The complainant would contend, the triple marker test report is submitted. Hence, the</p>
--	--	---

Commission should look into the same. In our view, that would be completely outside our domain in absence of appropriate authority and competence. The complainant is free to approach the appropriate forum for the same.

The complaint is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

The Hon'ble Chairperson

Sd/-

Prof. (Dr.) Madhusudan Banerjee – Member

Sd/-

Dr. Maitrayee Banerjee – Member

Sd/-

Smt Madhabi Das – Member

Authenticated

Secretary
West Bengal Clinical Establishment
Regulatory Commission