

Case Reference: INT/KOL/2025/073

Mr. Chandan Ghosh Complainants


vs

Vijaya Diagnostic Centre, VIP Road..... Respondent/ Respondents

ORDER SHEET

Office Note	Order No.	Date	Order
	1.	19/12/2025	<p>The complaint would relate to misconception that has arisen because of the comment made by the concerned Sonologist while doing the USG.</p> <p>The complainant would contend, she attended the clinic when Dr. Nilanjana Datta Choudhary was assigned the job of imaging. The patient was taken to the console and within a few minutes she was of the opinion that the patient had pregnancy. Since she had no authority under the PNDT Rules the test should be done by an authorised sonologist. The patient was asked to change the bill. Subsequently another sonologist examined</p>





the patient and did the imaging that did not have any hint of pregnancy. In the process the patient was panicked hence, the complaint.

We have heard the parties at length including the first sonologist. The complainant would contend, that the Sonologist was in a hurry and she should not have made any comment without properly examining the patient.

The concerned Sonologist is of the opinion, initially she thought, it was a case of pregnancy. However, she was not definite. She did not want to proceed further in absence of authority under the PNDT Rules.

Our esteemed medical expert Dr. Howlader is present online. He has interaction with the concerned sonologist. According to, Dr. Howlader it is a case of sheer misunderstanding. The diagnostic centre is directed to send a letter of



regret to the complainant.

The complaint is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

The Hon'ble Chairperson

Sd/-

Prof. (Dr.) Sukumar Mukherjee – Member

Sd/-

Dr. Maitrayee Banerjee – Member

Sd/-

SmtMadhabi Das – Member

Authenti cated
[Signature]
Secretary
West Bengal Clinical Establishment
Regulatory Commission

[Signature]