

Case Reference: INT/KOL/2024/118

Mr. Vaibhav Mishra Complainant

vs

EKO X-Ray and Imaging Institute, Jawaharlal Nehru Road, Elgin,
Kolkata.....Respondent/ Respondents

ORDER SHEET

Office Note	Order No.	Date	Order
	1.	31/07/2024	<p>The complaint would relate to two different opinions given by two different CEs on a Histopathology test.</p> <p>The complainant would contend, he first went to Eco Diagnostic for the test. Echo gave their report.</p> <p>The relevant part of it is quoted below:-</p> <p>“ <i>Histomorphological features are suggestive of <u>well differentiated squamous cell carcinoma</u> noted. Clinico-Radiological correlation and <u>immunohistochemistry for confirmation.</u>”</i></p> <p>With this report, the complainant approached Tata Medical Centre, Uni-Speciality Hospital of repute in Eastern India treating Carcinoma patients. They repeated the test and the relevant part of their report is extracted</p>

below:-

"There is no definite evidence of epithelial malignancy in the sections examined. Suggest repeat biopsy."

From the reports quoted supra, it is clear that both of them were in doubt. The first one, although suggested Carcinoma, advised co-relation by an advanced test of immunohistochemistry for confirmation. The second one, although opined that there is no definite evidence of malignancy, suggested repeat biopsy.

The repeat biopsy was done and the patient was given a complete clearance.

We do not find any negligence. We thus do not wish to interfere.

The complaint is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

The Hon'ble Chairperson

Sd/-

Prof. (Dr.) Sukumar Mukherjee – Member





Sd/-

Dr. Maitrayee Banerjee – Member

Sd/-

Sri. Sutirtha Bhattacharya, IAS (Retd)- Member

Sd/-

Smt Madhabi Das – Member

Authenticated
[Signature]

Secretary
West Bengal Clinical Establishment
Regulatory Commission