

Office of the West Bengal Clinical Establishment Regulatory Commission

1st Floor, 32 B.B.D Bag, West Bengal, Kolkata – 700001.

Phone:- (033) 2262-8447 , Email: wbcerc@wb.gov.in Website: www.wbcerc.gov.in

Case Reference: INT/KOL/2024/072

Mr. Yuvraj Dutta Shrimali Complainant

vs

Shree Vishudhanand Hospital, Kolkata.....Respondent/ Respondents

ORDER SHEET

Office Note	Order No.	Date	Order
	1.	22/03/2024	<p>The complaint would relate to anaesthetic complication in a case of double surgery.</p> <p>The patient was admitted on January 31, 2024 for a planned surgery of gallbladder coupled with total hysterectomy. The surgery was planned on February 1, 2024. The patient was taken to the OT at about 10 am. However, upto 5 pm, neither the treating team nor any one of them or any one on behalf of the hospital management informed about the condition of the patient as contended by the ill fated husband and son of the patient who breathed her last on February 2, 2024.</p> <p>We had interaction with the concerned</p>

		<p>Gynaecologist, GI surgeon and the anaesthetist.</p> <p>We have also requested Dr. Runa Bal, HOD, NRS Medical College and Hospital to assist us at the hearing. She is present with us.</p> <p>Our esteemed Medical Members are unanimous of the view, it was a case of anaesthetic complication. The concerned anaesthetist would also agree to the same. However, according to her, she was told by the management that the concerned Resident had already explained the possible after effects of the surgery to the patient as well as patient's family. She only considered the medical records for the first time at the OT.</p> <p>We have examined the medical records produced before us. There was no chronological recording of the condition of the patient at the OT. The concerned anaesthetic would submit, at about 2.30 pm she came out and explained the entire situation to the patient's family.</p> <p>The ill fated husband and son of the patient present</p>
--	--	--

AL

AL

		<p>on line at the hearing, would deny so. They^a would however, admit, they had interaction with concerned gynaecologist once. However, the GI surgeon was not available for consultation at the right moment.</p>
--	--	--

We are of the view, this is a fit case where the complainant should approach the West Bengal Medical Council against the treating doctors being the Gynaecologist, GI Surgeon and concerned anaesthetist as well as the concerned Resident refer to above.

In case they are successful on the issue they would be at liberty to approach us afresh on the hospital negligence.

Before we part with, we cannot be mere onlooker when we find that the concerned hospital did not maintain their medical records properly that have been sent to us for our consideration. We would definitely go into such issue at the appropriate time in case the complainant would approach us afresh.

The complaint is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-
The Hon'ble Chairperson

Sd/-
Prof. (Dr.) Sukumar Mukherjee – Member

Sd/-
Dr. Maitrayee Banerjee – Member

Sd/-
Smt Madhabi Das – Member

Authenticated
W.B.
West Bengal Clinical Establishment
Regulatory Commission

91